



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

- PRIJECTION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,671	09/29/2000	Yoshito Shibauch	4035-0116P	3850
7590 01/29/2003 Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMINER	
P O Box 747	A 22404-0747		TRAN LIEN, THUY	
rans Church, v	A 22101 0717		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	14
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	3 ' /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/675,671

Applicant(s)

Yoshito et al

Examiner

Lien Tran

Art Unit 1761



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	PET TO EVAIDE A MONTH OF EDOLE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	BET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM				
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a)	. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with	nin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely				
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will ap Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cau 	ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. se the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov. 1	4, 2002				
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>12-16</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) X Claim(s) <u>12-16</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/i	are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	nave been received.				
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents h	have been received in Application No.				
	documents have been received in this National Stage				
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provision	onal application has been received.				
15) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/675671

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayfield in view of Mally et al and Nakajima for the same reason set forth in paragraph 3 of the previous office action.
- In the response filed Nov. 14 2002, applicant argues the present invention includes no application of an edible film and if the external layers were sprayed with the edible film of Mayfield, the external layers would not be able to bond on one side with an intermediate layer. This argument is not persuasive. The product as claimed does not exclude the edible film disclosed by Mayfield. Furthermore, Mayfield also teaches the application of an edible film to the exterior surface of the external layers. The application of the film to the intermediate layer is only one embodiment of the Mayfield's disclosure. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the film to only the surface when it is desired to prevent sticking. Furthermore, applicant has not shown that the application of the film will prevent bonding. The intermediate layer by nature of its location will bond to the external layers. With regard to the Mally et al reference. applicant argues since only one surface of the product is flat, it would be impossible to pile the Mally et al finished product. There is no reason to conclude that a slightly raised surface can not be piled; furthermore, when piling with another material, it would have been obvious to use the flat surface. With respect to the Nakjima reference, applicant argues there is no explicit teaching of flat or platy layers. Nakjima teaches forming a sandwich foods from two separate sheets that are pressed together. The sheets are flat layers. Furthermore, it would have been within the skill

Application/Control Number: 09/675671

Art Unit: 1761

of one in the art to alter the shape of the materials when the materials are used to make layered

food product such as that taught by Mayfield.

3. Applicant's arguments filed Nov. 14, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien Tran whose telephone number is 703-308-1868. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Fri. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

January 24, 2003

LIEN TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joup 1700

Page 3